

SCORING CRITERIA

Notes for Reviewers:

0% Score: A score of 0% typically indicates that the proposal fails to meet the minimum requirements within the evaluated category or does not address the evaluation criteria satisfactorily. It suggests significant deficiencies, lack of clarity, or fundamental flaws in the proposal, rendering it unsuitable for funding.

25% Score: A score of 25% suggests that the proposal has significant weaknesses or deficiencies within the evaluated criteria. It indicates that the evaluated category requires substantial revisions or improvements to become competitive for funding.

50% Score: A score of 50% represents a proposal that meets some, but not all, of the evaluation criteria adequately within the evaluated category. It suggests that the proposal has strengths in certain areas but also has notable weaknesses or gaps. A score of 50% indicates that the evaluated category may require moderate revisions or enhancements to increase its competitiveness for funding.

75% Score: A score of 75% suggests the evaluated category meets most of the evaluation criteria well. It indicates that the proposal demonstrates significant strengths and addresses the Atlas Institute's expectations satisfactorily. However, there may still be some areas where minor improvements or adjustments are needed.

100% Score: A score of 100% represents an outstanding proposal that excels in all aspects and fully meets the evaluation criteria within the evaluated category. It indicates that the proposal demonstrates exceptional quality, relevance, and alignment with the Atlas Institute's expectations, with no major deficiencies or weaknesses identified.

Competition #:	
Applicant:	
Reviewer:	

Category	What to consider	Notes	Score
Feasibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personnel • Budget • Timelines 	<p>To what extent did the proposal provide a clear and cohesive research plan that demonstrates alignment in the objectives with the available resources, and is there a strong likelihood of achieving the intended outcomes within the proposed timeline?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent does the application's proposed budget and timelines fit reasonably in relation to the proposed project and to what extent are they justified? • Do the members of the project team have the required expertise to conduct the research project? • Are the institutional supports, equipment and other physical resources available to the project team adequate for the proposed research? 		/20
Methodology	<p>To what extent is the methodology, research design or research approach employed to address the research question appropriate and clear?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the planned statistical or qualitative analysis methods suitable to address the research question? • Are the proposed data collection methods and measurements appropriate to address the research question? • Is the proposed sample size adequate to achieve the research objectives? 		/20

Competition #:	
Applicant:	
Reviewer:	

Category	What to consider	Notes	Score
SGBA+	<p>To what extent have SGBA+ considerations been implemented into the project proposal?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To what extent does the proposal integrate considerations of sex and gender into the data collection and analysis plan? To what extent does the proposal demonstrate thoughtful and actionable approaches to sample composition and recruitment that accounts for sex, gender and intersectionality? 		/15
Engagement with PWLE	<p>To what extent have persons with lived expertise been incorporated into the project proposal?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To what extent does the proposal outline an approach that demonstrates an inclusive research design that actively involves individuals/communities with lived expertise and does the application provide specific strategies and methods for incorporating lived expertise perspectives such as participatory research approaches, co-design, or community-based research? 		/15

Competition #:	
Applicant:	
Reviewer:	

Category	What to consider	Notes	Score
Overall impact and innovation	<p>To what extent is the application likely to exert a sustained impact and influence on the well-being of Veterans and Families?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To what extent will the proposed project provide an important scientific contribution to the research field of Veteran and Veteran Family wellbeing? To what extent does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms? 		/15
Knowledge Mobilization	<p>Has the KMB plan identified audiences/knowledge users for the proposed knowledge products and to what extent are the outlined activities likely to reach knowledge users?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To what extent does the proposal demonstrate an understanding of the diverse needs and preferences of different target audiences? To what extent does the proposal consider accessibility requirements, language preferences, and cultural appropriateness to ensure that research findings are communicated in a manner that is relevant, understandable, and usable by the intended audiences? 		/15
		Total score /100	/100

Competition #:	
Applicant:	
Reviewer:	